





Antiretroviral Treatment as Prevention • ANRS 12249 Ukuphila kwami, ukuphila kwethu (my health for our health)

The impact of Universal Test and Treat on HIV incidence in a rural South African population

François DABIS for the ANRS 12249 TasP study team



et Développement















- Research grants from Gilead, MSD
- Study drugs were provided by Merck / Gilead

ART as prevention



- Plasma HIV viral load: primary determinant of the risk of HIV transmission (Quinn, NEJM 2000)
- Good evidence that ART reduces sexual transmission of HIV in serodiscordant stable couples (Cohen, NEJM 2011)
- What is the effectiveness of using ART as prevention (TasP) or Universal Test and Treat (UTT) at the population level in an HIV hyper-endemic community in rural KwaZulu-Natal?
 - Population well characterized in terms of ART use and effect on transmission (Tanser, Science 2013 & Oldenburg, CID 2016)

ANRS 12249 TasP trial



- **Objective:** To evaluate the effect of early ART, initated irrespective of CD4 count criteria, on HIV incidence in the general population in the same setting
- **Design:** Cluster-randomized trial (Iwuji et al. Trials 2013; Orne-Gliemann et al. BMC Public Health 2015)



6-monthly rounds of home-based HIV-testing

Trial area





Country: South Africa **Region:** KwaZulu-Natal **Sub-district:** Hlabisa

- 1 430 Km²
- 228,000 Zulu speaking people



4 clusters + 6 clusters + 12 clusters

Total of 22 clusters





Homestead identification (GPS)





Homestead identification (GPS)

Homestead visit every 6 months

- 1. Head of household verbal consent
- 2. Registration of individuals

Inclusion criteria

- Resident member of a household
- 16 years or older
- Able to give informed consent

Exclusion criteria

- Untreated psychiatric disorder
- Neurological impairment









Homestead visit every 6 months

- Head of household verbal consent
- 2. Registration of individuals



Homestead procedures

- 1. Household assets questionnaire
- 2. Individual questionnaire
- 3. DBS sample, rapid HIV testing
- 4. TasP card



Homestead identification (GPS)

TasP clinic

- One per cluster (45 min walk max)
- HIV care and treatment
- according to arm
- Study questionnaires



Homestead visit every 6 months

- Head of household verbal consent
- 2. Registration of individuals



Homestead procedures

- 1. Household assets questionnaire
- 2. Individual questionnaire
- 3. DBS sample, rapid HIV testing
- 4. TasP card



ANRS 12249 TasP trial primary outcome

- Cumulative incidence of new HIV infections
 Powered to detect a 34% reduction in incidence in intervention arm vs control arm
- Measured on longitudinal/repeat Dried Blood Spot (DBS) using HIV-ELISA
- Computed among those individuals with a first HIV-negative test
- Compared by Poisson regression taking into account cluster effect





RESEARCHARTICLE

Uptake of Home-Based HIV Testing, Linkage to Care, and Community Attitudes about ART in Rural KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa: Descriptive Results from the First Phase of the ANRS 12249 TasP Cluster-Randomised Trial

Collins C. Iwuji^{1,2®}*, Joanna Orne-Gliemann^{3,4®}, Joseph Larmarange^{1,5}, Nonhlanhla Okesola¹, Frank Tanser^{1,6}, Rodolphe Thiebaut^{3,4}, Claire Rekacewicz⁷, Marie-Louise Newell^{1,8‡}, Francois Dabis^{3,4‡}, ANRS 12249 TasP trial group¹



Description of trial population, HIV burden and ART coverage <u>at the beginning of the trial</u>

	Intervention	Control	Total
Socio-demographics at registration	(n=13,236)	(n=14,917)	(n=28,153)
Men	37%	38%	37%
Median age in years (IQR)	30 (22-50)	30 (22-49)	30 (22-50)
Baseline cluster characteristics			
Average HIV prevalence (95% CI) (DBS)	30% (29-31)	31% (30-32)	31% (30-31)
ART coverage*	31%	36%	34%

* Estimated from Department of Health data

Trial process indicators



		Intervention	Control
Contact rate per survey round (range)		61% - 84%	66% - 90%
HIV ascertainment rate per survey round (range)		70% – 83%	77% – 88%
Entry into care among individuals not in	n care		
	Within 3 months	28%	29%
	Within 6 months	36%	37%
	Within 12 months	47 %	47 %

Trial process indicators (ctd)



	Intervention	Control
ART initiation within 3 months in TasP clinics among patients not on ART at first TasP clinic visit	91%	52%
Viral load <400 copies/ml among patients not on ART at first TasP clinic visit		
At month 6	93%	92%
At month 12	95 %	95 %
Estimated ART coverage* (as of 1 st January 2016)	45 %	43 %
ART coverage improvement since baseline	+14	+7

* Estimated from TasP + Department of Health data



HIV incidence



	Number of HIV- positive DBS tests	Person- years	Incidence for 100 person-years	95% CI
Control	268	11,787	2.27	2.00-2.55
Intervention	227	10,646	2.13	1.85-2.41
TOTAL	495	22,434	2.21	2.01-2.40

ANRS 12249 TasP: HIV incidence comparison



	Number of HIV- positive DBS tests	Person- years	Incidence for 100 person-years	95% CI
Control	268	11,787	2.27	2.00-2.55
Intervention	227	10,646	2.13	1.85-2.41
TOTAL	495	22,434	2.21	2.01-2.40

Adjusted risk ratio*

	aRR	95% CI	P-value
Intervention vs control	0.95	0.79-1.14	0.5821

* Estimated with Poisson regression, adjusted on sex, age, change in national ART guidelines, baseline cluster HIV prevalence and ART coverage





Summary



No significant difference in HIV incidence between trial arms





- No significant difference in HIV incidence between trial arms
- Nearly all individuals living with HIV in the trial communities are aware of their HIV status
- More than 90% individuals on ART achieved viral suppression

Summary



- No significant difference in HIV incidence between trial arms
- Nearly all individuals living with HIV in the trial communities are aware of their HIV diagnosis
- More than 90% individuals on ART achieved viral suppression
- Sub-optimal and delayed linkage to care
- Small ART coverage difference between arms

Further analyses



Specific secondary outcomes: clinical, behavioural, socio-economic, health services

Further analyses



- Specific secondary outcomes: clinical, behavioural, socio-economic, health services
- Profile of people reached and not reached by TasP intervention
- Reasons for non linkage
 - Models of care
 - Community attitudes and stigma....

Further analyses



- Specific secondary outcomes: clinical, behavioural, socio-economic, health services
- Profile of people reached and not reached by TasP intervention
- Reasons for non linkage
 - Models of care
 - Community attitudes and stigma....
- In and out migrations
- Location of sexual partners
- Community viral load and phylogeny

Acknowledgements

- Trial participants
- Africa Centre staff
- Traditional Authority
- Department of Health, South Africa
- Merck/Gilead



ANRS 12249 Study Group: Kathy Baisley, Eric Balestre, Till Bärnighausen, Brigitte Bazin, Sylvie Boyer, Alexandra Calmy, Vincent Calvez, François Dabis (co-PI), Anne Derache, Hermann Donfouet, Rosemary Dray-Spira, Jaco Dreyer, Andrea Grosset, Kobus Herbst, John Imrie, Collins Iwuji (Coordinator South), Joseph Larmarange, France Lert, Thembisa Makowa, Anne-Genevieve Marcelin, Nuala McGrath, Marie-Louise Newell (co-PI), Nonhlanhla Okesola, Tulio de Oliveira, Joanna Orne-Gliemann (Coordinator North), Delphine Perriat, Deenan Pillay (co-PI), Mélanie Plazy, Camelia Protopopescu, Claire Rekacewicz, Luis Sagaon-Teyssier, Bruno Spire, Frank Tanser, Rodolphe Thiébaut, Thierry Tiendrebeogo, Thembelihle Zuma