



AutoTest VIH, Libre d'Accéder à la connaissance de son Statut SÉNÉGAL - CÔTE D'IVOIRE - MALI

### Implementing and evaluating HIV self-testing in West Africa

Sophie Calmettes (Solthis) Joseph Larmarange (IRD)

EPH preconference | Marseille | 20 November 2019







## Context

## HIV self-tests (HIVST)

- › New diagnosis tool
- Recommended by WHO since 2016 as an additional testing strategy
- > STAR
  - » Funded by Unitaid
  - » Phase 1 (2015-2017) in Malawi, Zimbabwe, Zambia
  - » Diagnostic accuracy, user preferences, social harms, linkage to care
  - » Market creation & Price reduction
  - » Mainly primary distribution
  - » Call for proposals launched by Unitaid for a project in Western Africa: an operational project with embedded evaluation/research component







### West Africa: mixed HIV epidemics

#### **HIV prevalence by country and sub-population**

Source: Unaids data 2018



### **HIV Care cascade**

**Testing** constitutes the **main gap** in the cascade

 Testing is relatively high among those reached by NGOs (e.g. 70% of FSWs recently tested in PrEP-Cl Study in Côte d'Ivoire)

How to reach those unreached by current strategies?



Source: Unaids data 2018



Building a consortium (January – June 2017)

# UNITAID's process for proposal selection and grant making





### Writing a proposal

January 2017: Unitaid's call for a project promoting HIVST in Western & Central Africa



Solthis interested in responding that call

#### Setting up a consortium

- Contact with 2 research teams from IRD (Ceped & TransVIHMI) with previous collaborations
- Contact with Sidaction for their community engagement with local NGOs in West Africa

Contacts with Ministries of Health of 7 Western and Central African countries

- Agenda too tight to allow engagement of local NGOs
- Discussion at high level only at this stage

#### June 2017: Consortium selected by Unitaid

• Beginning of Grant Agreement Development (GAD)

#### March 2017: proposal submission

• 38 pages

- 7 countries, ~1 million HIVST
- Support letters from the countries
- 28 millions USD



Developing the project (June 2017 – May 2018)

### Grant Agreement Developement

### > Unitaid's initial requests:

- » Reducing the number of countries
- » Reduction of budget and human resources accordingly
- » Activities to be implemented through local NGOs already receiving funding from Pepfar or Global Fund

### From June 2017 to May 2018

- » Continuous negotiation with Unitaid
- » 5 versions of all documents submitted and reviewed by Unitaid



### **Countries selection**

- > From 7 to 4, then to 3 countries
  - » Discussion regarding Cameroun (issues in terms of feasibility)
  - » Political will expressed by countries taken into account
  - » Diversity of epidemiological contexts
  - » Discussions mainly between Unitaid and the consortium
    - low involvement of countries in the final choice
- > August 2017

» Final selection: Côte d'Ivoire, Mali & Sénégal



### **Budget for Grant Development**

> Unitaid provides a small budget for GAD phase

#### › Country visits

- » We asked for two per country to meet national authorities and local actors
- » Only one visit per country was granted
- » Country visits used to discuss and define population targets and HIVST distribution strategies
- » Strategies have been renegotiated with Unitaid after country visits

#### > Very short timeline

» Initially, project plan was supposed to be finalised for February 2018



### HIV self-tests







\* some of them could also be part of key populations





\* some of them could also be part of key populations

### Integration of HIVST in preexisting activities

Identification of local implementers with Ministries of Health
 Based on current programmes funded by Pepfar or Global fund

### > Sidaction decided to leave the consortium

- » Their historical partners were not were not necessarily sub-recipients of Pepfar/GF grants
- » Not having local teams, low added value of their participation in the consortium



### **Embedding research**

- HIVST distribution strategies has been designed regardless of the ease with which they can be evaluated
  - » In particular, secondary distribution without any tracking

> Research plan was developed based on the operational strategy

- » Research activities had to evolve during GAD to adapt to strategy changes
- > Strong request from Unitaid to collaborate with other Unitaid-funded projects
  - » STAR project in Eastern & Southern Africa
  - » MTV Shuga Babi project
- > Challenges for implicating local researchers in a tight agenda
  - » Use of preexisting partnerships (PAC-CI, CRCF)
  - » Most of recruited post-doc and PhD students are African
  - » Involvement of national co-investigators, representatives of MoH, during protocol development (i.e. after GAD)



### 6 Research Work Packages

#### **Social contexts**

KP qualitative survey

leaded by A Desclaux (IRD) partnership with CRCF Dakar

**Partners of PLWHIV** anthropological survey

> leaded by D Pourette (IRD)

#### **Evaluation of ATLAS impacts**

#### **Coupons survey**

leaded by J Larmarange (IRD) partnership with PACCI Abidjan

Population-based survey (Bas-Sassandra, Cl)

leaded by I Birdthistle (LSHTM) in partnership with MTV Shuga project **Costing** leaded by F Terris-Prestholt (LSHTM)

#### Modelling

leaded by MC Boily (Imperial College)



## Lessons learned

### Research/Implementation articulation

- > A co-construction between implementers (Solthis) and researchers (IRD)
  - » Mobilization of the state of scientific knowledge to design the operational strategies
  - » Research questions defined to address the field actors' concerns in terms of scale-up
  - » Research must feed implementation / Implementation must guide research
- > A limited place for local actors during the development phase
  - » Continuous negotiation with Unitaid (strong constraint)
  - » Solthis maintained ongoing discussion with national authorities (MoH, HIV programmes)
    - but the latest were poorly involved in decision making
    - no joint meeting between Unitaid, Solthis/IRD and countries
  - » Limited involvement of local implementing NGOs and public sites (>30) at that stage



### How to compensate during implementation phase ?

#### > At operational level

- » Establishment of national technical groups
- » At project start, 6 months dedicated with local actors on the operationalization
- » Meetings once or twice a year bringing together stakeholders from the three countries (consortium meeting)
- » Adaptation of budget envelopes to each field actor according to his reality

### > At the research level

- » Identification of co-investigators from ministries of health
- » Focus groups conducted at regular intervals among the 700 dispensing agents
- » Results presented and discussed during consortium meetings & country workshops
- » Development of a knowledge transfer plan: summary reports...



#### Coordination





Unitaid OAFD

### LES PARTENAIRES

#### Partenaires de mise en œuvre

' R **D** 

Côte d'Ivoire

Ceped

PAC

Ministère de la Santé et de l'Hygiène Publique PNLS



Ariel Glaser Espace Confiance Heartland et ses partenaires Ruban Rouge

#### Partenaires de recherche

CRCF

LONDON SCHOOL of HYGIENE &TROPICAL MEDICINE

ENSEA

Mali Ministère de la Santé et des Affaires sociales HCNLS



**Imperial College** 

AKS Amprode Sahel ARCAD Sida Danayaso PSI Soutoura

London

le cn**am** 

**Sénégal** Ministère de la Santé et de l'Action Sociale CNLS



CTA CEPIAD Enda et ses partenaires

World Health

Organization

(I)

INRSP

Yale University

Strail McGill

### Partenaire technique



Projets partenaires







## Extra slides





AutoTest VIH, Libre d'Accéder à la connaissance de son Statut Sénégal - Côte D'IVOIRE - MALI

### ATLAS IMPLEMENTATION







### ATLAS delivery channels



### Estimated HIVST distribution 2019-2021

**HIVST estimated distribution per year and target population (ATLAS project)** In thousands, estimations, June 2019





Implementing partners and regions









AutoTest VIH, Libre d'Accéder à la connaissance de son Statut SÉNÉGAL - CÔTE D'IVOIRE - MALI

### CONTEXT







## Epidemiological categories

Individuals reached by surveys

Sexual networks

Individuals reached by programmes

Social groups



RDS Respondent Driven Sampling

Wirtz et al. JIAS 2013

There is a relation between exposure to HIV, access to HIV testing and position within sexual networks



Self-reported orientation, HIV prevalence & status knowledge by RDS waves

#### Waves 0-3

49% self-reported to be Gay 48% infected by HIV 53% knew their HIV status

#### Waves 4-7

48% self-reported to be Gay27% infected by HIV37% knew their HIV status

#### Waves 8-13

27% self-reported to be Gay15% infected by HIV33% knew their HIV status

Lesotho, Malawi, Swaziland Source: Stahlman et al. *STI* 2016

### Some MSM are not observed in the different surveys

Most participants are young (<35) and report having older sexual partners

Similar feedback from peer educators on the field They have difficulties to reach older MSM, in particular married men

#### **HIV prevalence by sub-population**

Côte d'Ivoire 2018, unpublished data derived from Maheu-Giroux et al. JAIDS 2017



#### Number of PLHIV by sub-population

Côte d'Ivoire 2018, unpublished data derived from Maheu-Giroux et al. JAIDS 2017



### **Distribution of PLHIV by sub-population**



### Number of PLHIV by sub-population

Côte d'Ivoire 2018, unpublished data derived from Maheu-Giroux et al. JAIDS 2017



### **Distribution of PLHIV by sub-population**



#### Distribution of undiagnosed PLHIV by sub-population

2 7%	47%	-	12%	32%
MSM FSW	Clients		Other Men	Other Women
allas savoir est une force				

### First 90 by sub-population

Côte d'Ivoire 2018, unpublished data, courtesey of Maheu-Giroux and colleagues





## Who transmit and who acquire HIV?

According to the same model, in Côte d'Ivoire, between 2005 and 2015

- MSM:
  4% of those acquiring HIV
  4% of transmitters
- FSW:
  5% of those acquiring HIV
  19% of transmitters
- > 44% of HIV infections occurred between a client of FSW and a no-FSW women

Source: Mathieu Maheu-Giroux et al. JAIDS 2017



### Differences by countries

According to a similar model for Dakar only in Senegal:

- Sex between men (MSM) account for around half of new HIV infections
- Commercial sex account for around
  one sixth of new HIV infections

### STI consultations and HIV testing

Only 28% of those who consulted a health professional for an STI in Côte d'Ivoire reported that they were offered to test for HIV.







AutoTest VIH, Libre d'Accéder à la connaissance de son Statut SÉNÉGAL - CÔTE D'IVOIRE - MALI

### ATLAS RESEARCH











### Research embedded in ATLAS General objective

#### Describe, analyse and understand

## the **social**, **health**, **epidemiological** and **economic effects**

of the introduction of HIV self-testing

in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali and Senegal

to improve testing offer (accessibility, effectiveness and ethics)

## Secondary objectives (1/2)

- Identify the social, cultural and organisational factors facilitating and limiting the primary and secondary distribution of HIV self-tests and their use/appropriation by the different actors concerned (program or project manager and NGO representative, delivery agents, primary contacts, secondary contacts).
- Establish the socio-behavioural profile and HIV testing history of HIV self-tests users and their care history in the event of a reactive self-test.
- Analyze the positive and negative social and health consequences of the introduction of HIV self-testing for individuals, communities and the health system.

# Secondary objectives (2/2)

- Estimate the incremental costs of dispensing HIV self-tests per delivery channel.
- Model the epidemiological impacts of the ATLAS program and different scaling scenarios on epidemic dynamics.
- Estimate the medium- and long-term cost-effectiveness and budgetary impact of different scaling up strategies.